AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicants: Campbell et al.						Docket No. PG3602USW	
09/838.836		ng Date y 1, 2001				Group Art Unit 1625	
PYRAZOLOPYE	RIDINE DERIVATIVE	S AS SELECTIVE	COX-2 INH	BITORS			RECEIVE
	TO THE with is an amendment a calculated and is tran		ified applicat		NTS:	TE	CH CENTER 1600/
		CLAIMS A	S AMENDE	D			
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST #		BER EXTRA	RATE		ADDITIONAL FEE
FOTAL CLAIMS	0 -		:	0	x \$18	.00	\$0.00
NDEP. CLAIMS	5 -	5 =		0	x \$80	.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)							\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT							\$0.00
☐ Please cl A duplica ☐ A check i ☑ The Com	onal fee is required for harge Deposit Account ate copy of this sheet is in the amount of amissioner is hereby autication or credit any ov	No. enclosed. to cover the athorized to charge erpayment to Depo	e filing fee is payment of	the following		ciated v	with this
A duplica ⊠ Any	ate copy of this sheet is additional filing fees re patent application prod	quired under 37 C		7.	,		

Patents, Washington, D.C. Assistant 20231.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Cynthia M. Edwards

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re Application: I. Campbell et al.

Serial No.:

09/830,836

Examiner:

Harna Marna B. Robinson

Filing Date:

1 May 2001

Art Unit:

1625

For:

PYRAZOLOPYRIDINE DERIVATIVES AS SELECTIVE COX-2 INHIBITORS

Commissioner of Patents Washington D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action mailed 28 August 2001, please reconsider the instant application in view of the following remarks.

Remarks

Currently Claims 1-10, 13-14 and 17-35 are pending.

All Claims are subject to the Examiner's restriction requirement. The Office Action indicates that Applicants are entitled to examination of Examiner's group I together with one method of use claim to be selected from Examiner's groups III, IV, V, VI, VII, and VIII and one process claim to be selected from Examiner's groups II, IX, X and XI. Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction requirement.

The Examiner's restriction requirement does not account for claims 18, 19 and 20. A proper restriction requirement must account for all pending claims.

Applicants respectfully submit that 37 CFR 1.499(b) states that in national stage applications containing different categories of claims, unity of invention will exist if the claims are drawn to certain specified combinations, including a product, a process